



Determining Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure with Firm Size as Variable Moderation

Ayu Rinelisa Prabandari ^{a*}, Nurul Fatimah ^a,
Sulis Rochayatun ^a and Farahiyah Sartika ^a

^a Faculty of Economics, UIN Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang, Indonesia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEBA/2023/v23i191082

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104077>

Original Research Article

Received: 03/06/2023

Accepted: 08/08/2023

Published: 22/08/2023

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine the effect of managerial ownership, profitability, leverage, and tax aggressiveness moderated by firm size on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) disclosure. The population in this study comprises energy sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX), which have a capitalization value of more than 5,000,000,000. Determining the minimum number of samples using Slovin theory shows that 33 minimum samples must be met. The method of determining using purposive sampling with the criteria of energy companies listed on the IDX and issuing annual and sustainability reports in 2019-2021. The results showed that managerial ownership has a significant effect on CSR disclosure. Profitability has no significant effect on CSR disclosure. Leverage has a significant effect on CSR disclosure. Tax aggressiveness has a significant effect on CSR disclosure. Managerial ownership, profitability, leverage, and tax aggressiveness have significant simultaneous effects moderated by firm size on CSR disclosure. Future studies are anticipated to use a bigger sample size because this research is still only applicable to one industry, allowing the findings to reflect a wider range of

*Corresponding author: E-mail: 200502110085@student.uin-malang.ac.id;

businesses. Additionally, institutional ownership or shares as illustrations of effective corporate management can be introduced as additional variable factors, which may affect the company's CSR disclosure.

Keywords: Managerial ownership; profitability; leverage; tax aggressiveness; firm size; CSR disclosure.

1. INTRODUCTION

A business process company is possible at first, but consider it not quite enough to answer social One of the reasons for the classic Adam Smith statement that "a single corporation is to produce profit". For the sake of searching for maximum profit, the company has precisely low concern for pollution of the environment and damage to ecosystems due to the production process carried out [1]. Though, value positive company Can be seen when a company does No only hunt profit (profit) only, company own marks a concern for the environment (planet) as well the well-being of society (people). More than one form is needed to answer social companies in repairing the gap in social and damaged environment that occurs with the company's existing disclosure of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).

CSR has already become the public's attention, making society and companies aware of the importance of environmental concerns [2]. Many perpetrator businesses still consider that not enough is done to reduce social activity waste costs, even though the CSR program actually gives the company lots of profits [3]. Realizing the necessity of guarding the environment related to the more severe damage that occurs starting from deforestation, forest pollution, air pollution, and then water pollution, he made Limited Liability Company Law No. 40 Article 74, which was ratified on July 20, 2007, which states that the company that runs activity businesses in the field or related to source Power natural must carry out not quite enough social and environmental activities. However, in practice, many companies still need to apply more answers to their activities. This case is reflected in one of the destructive PT Pertamina case reefs that exposed the reefs and waters of Balikpapan B3 waste [4]. An activity like This shows that there are still several causative factors, including the company still needs to be responsible and answer. The report needs more answers on social sustainability for the public.

Factors that can affect the intensity of CSR disclosure, among others, are ownership,

management, profitability, leverage, aggressiveness, taxation, and the company's size. Ownership managerial is someone who occupies a position as manager [5]. Furthermore, it owns a percentage ownership share in the company [6]. If it is connected with theory, this will raise constraints because managers usually want to avoid experiencing a loss with an emit cost For something that is not sure and does not produce a profit. Ownership management significantly influences CSR disclosure [7], Whereas according to Sari et al., [8] ownership and management have no significant influence on CSR disclosure.

Besides, profitability is also one of the influential factors in corporate CSR disclosure. Profitability is the result of the distribution of results between a profit-making company and its assets or capital [9] theory: This concludes that a company with a high level of profitability can provide a responsible answer to activities in a social environment for society. This has been tested through research conducted by Saleh Sitompul [10], who obtained results showing that profitability positively influences CSR disclosure. Another factor that can affect CSR disclosure is leverage. Leverage shows the ability of a company in management to be a good source of funds _ from debt or owned assets company. There is a strong possibility for a company to violate debt contracts so that the manager will report a profit. This has more height and impact because there is a reduction in funds for Activity One, an accountability social company.

That is that aggressiveness tax, which is avoidance tax legally as one effort by a company to reduce the amount of tax paid, is also a factor that can affect corporate CSR disclosure. Companies that carry out aggressive acts of taxation try to divert attention from the public by disclosing information about not enough social media more widely to create a positive view to report the company. According to research conducted by [4] the aggressiveness tax does not influence CSR disclosure. Whereas, according to [6], aggressiveness tax can affect CSR disclosure by the company. Several

researchers have researched the factors above and delivered mixed results, with the addition of various other variables. In researching this, researchers try to add the company's size as a variable that can moderate the connection between ownership, management, profitability, leverage, and aggressiveness in corporate CSR disclosure.

2. THEORETICAL LITERATURE

2.1 Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory is a corporate ethics and organizational management paradigm that incorporates morality and values into business management. R. Edward Freeman initially presented it in 1984 [3]. The stakeholder approach has appeared since the 1980s. Stakeholders are groups or individuals who can affect or be influenced by achievement objective organization [11]. The corporation is accountable to its stakeholders in addition to its owners, in accordance with the stakeholder approach. It follows that stakeholders have an impact on and/or are affected by the company's actions. If connected with corporate CSR theory, this emphasizes that accountability organizations Far exceed finance or the economy. The company is not the only entity _ operating for interest Alone However must give benefits to stakeholders [12]. If applied in an activity company, disclosure information about environmental, social, and economic performance can exceed the request for mandatory fulfilment of expectations recognized by stakeholders.

2.2 Ownership Managerial

In the annual report, ownership managerial can be shown by managers' magnitude of the percentage ownership share of the company [13]. When the management company at a time becomes a holder share, they will, in a manner of no direct involvement, maximize existing business in achieving the objective company [14]. When ownership managerial in the company is high, the more strict supervision carried out by the company, the more the manager will disclose social information from activities done in the CSR program.

2.3 Profitability

Profitability shows the ability of a company to produce a profit with the use of its assets. Measurement profitability results from distribution profit compared to company assets or capital

[15]. The more elevated the level of profitability of the company, the higher costs incurred for the company to do activities, one of them namely CSR. Companies with high profitability must disclose insufficient socially responsible companies [16].

2.4 Leverage

Company leverage is used to size how much dependence on creditors to finance the assets. A company that owns much debt depends heavily on loans from outside to finance its assets. Instead, companies with low leverage finance their assets with more equity [17]. Leverage ratio is a gauge of a company's level of debt financing. The usage of excessive debt puts the business at risk because it will result in extreme leverage (extreme debt), which keeps the business enmeshed in a high degree of debt and makes it challenging to get out from under the debt burden [16] If an increase in leverage represents management's capacity to raise a company's value, it sends a favorable message [4].

2.5 Aggressiveness Tax

The government has passed laws and regulations governing the taxpayers' tax payment duties, but these laws and regulations have loopholes that can be used to implement tax planning. Companies view taxes as an additional expense that can lower profits, thus they will probably engage in tax planning to lower their effective tax rate [13] Aggressiveness Tax is the practice of planning aggressive and innovative taxes that aim to legally reduce or avoid obligation taxes [18]. Meanwhile, according to [11] tax evasion is the deliberate or unintentional reduction of a corporation's taxable income with the intention of lessening its tax burden and maximizing the company's profits. Companies that carry out aggressive acts of taxation tend not to give enough answers to social and environmental issues to get a good image in society.

2.6 Firm Size

Firm size refers to a distinguishing scale between large and small companies. Companies with an entity more business _ big tend interesting investors interested in investing shares in the company [14]. The size of a company can describe scale operations, potential growth, and the impact on the economy of a company [19]. According to [10], the size of the company may

be determined by dividing the total assets by the size of the company's assets using the calculation of total asset log value. Because profit management can obfuscate publicly available information, financial statements must be trustworthy, transparent, and devoid of these flaws.

2.7 Corporate Social Responsibility

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is the development of a not-quite-enough-answer company through vague answers to impact its operations' social, economic, and environmental aspects. [17]. Information about short answers to company moments becomes an obligation for the company because it affects continuity. After all, information becomes the basis for related parties, directly or indirectly, with the company [14].

2.8 Hypothesis

2.8.1 The effect of ownership managerial on CSR disclosure

Big or small ownership management in the company will affect the business in reaching the objectives of the company. Research performed by [1] shows that ownership and managerial influence are significant to CSR disclosure. They compared it backwards with research by [7], which shows that ownership management has no significant influence on CSR disclosure.

So based on the explanation above, the hypothesis first to be tested by the author in the study is as follows:

H1: Ownership managerial influence significant to CSR disclosure.

2.8.2 The effect of profitability on CSR disclosure

Profitability is a factor that makes management free and flexible in disclosing CSR to holders of stock. A company with high profitability will be capable of revealing more CSR activity. Research conducted by Ni Luh Eka [1] provides results showing that profitability has a significant positive influence on CSR disclosure. This is also supported by research by Ana Wahyuningsih [20], which stated that profitability significantly impacts CSR disclosure.

So based on the explanation above, both hypotheses will be tested by the author in research, and this is as follows:

H2: Profitability influential and significant to CSR disclosure.

2.8.3 The effect of leverage on CSR disclosure

The theory explains that companies with a high level of leverage will disclose but not quite enough to answer social questions, compared to companies with a low level of leverage. This is done because, with existing CSR disclosure, stakeholders' interests will matter as a guarantee of continuity [4]. Some research has examined the effect of leverage on CSR disclosure and concluded that leverage significantly affects CSR disclosure [10]. In contrast, research reveals no significant influence between leverage and CSR disclosure.

So based on the explanation above, hypothesis #3 is to be tested by the author in research. This is as follows:

H3: Leverage matters significant to CSR disclosure.

2.8.4 The effect of aggressiveness Tax on CSR disclosure

To avoid bad reputations caused by acts of aggressiveness taxes, companies like this will need to disclose more socially active answers for fun attention from society. The statement supported by theory stated legitimacy: _ If tax aggressive industries, more lots will uncover CSR in several fields to relieve the burden on the community [21]. Research already implemented by [13] found that aggressiveness tax is influential and significant to CSR disclosure. The same is also supported influence of aggressiveness tax on significant CSR disclosure on research conducted by Handayani & Murniati, [22].

So based on the explanation above, hypothesis #4 is to be tested by the author in research. This is as follows:

H4: Aggressiveness tax is influential and significant to CSR disclosure.

2.8.5 The effect kindly no jump on variables ownership managerial, profitability, leverage, and aggressiveness tax to disclosure of CSR with firm size as variable moderation

Linked with Theory Agency, a company that owns a large size tends to disclose information more company-wide to reduce cost [23]. Cost agency arises if There is an inequality of interest between holders of stock and management, including in matters of CSR policy. Study about the influence of size company towards CSR has Lots studied by researchers before. Research results reveal a significant relationship between size and CSR disclosure [24]. [1] also expressed the same thing, who stated that the company's size is influential and significant to CSR disclosure.

If the amount of study about the influence of size companies on CSR disclosure that delivers results shows a significant influence between size companies and CSR disclosure, then researchers use variable size companies For moderate ownership, managerial, profitability, leverage, and aggressiveness tax on CSR disclosure.

So based on the explanation above, hypothesis fifth to be tested by the author in research is as follows:

H5: Ownership managerial, profitability, leverage, and aggressiveness tax significantly affect CSR disclosure with size company as variable moderation.

3. METHODS

This type of study is descriptive with an approach, namely quantitative data. Population in the study: This is a company in the energy sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) with a capitalization of more than 5,000,000,000. The minimal sample amount was determined using the Slovin formula, and the total sample used was 33. Retrieval technique: Sampling is done with the purposive sampling method, where criteria are sampled in the study. These companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in the energy sector are mining companies with a capitalization of more than 5,000,000,000 who publish annual reports and sustainability reports to the public from 2019 to 2021. With criteria set, researchers get results from a compliant sample—criteria that include as many as 13 companies. Research data: This is

secondary data derived from the IDX website (the Indonesian Stock Exchange), namely www.idx.co.id, and the websites of each entity. Data analysis techniques use the assumption tests of normality, classic multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, and hypothesis testing, i.e., statistical tests. Analysis models multiple linear regression as follows:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \beta_4X_4 + \beta_5M + \beta_6X_{1.M} + \beta_7X_{2.M} + \beta_8X_{3.M} + \beta_9X_{4.M} + \epsilon_i \quad (1)$$

Y: Disclosure of corporate social responsibility

α : Constant

β_1 - β_9 : Regression coefficient of each factor

X1: Managerial ownership

X2: Profitability

X3: Leverage

X4: Tax aggressiveness

M: Firm size

ϵ_i : Error term

3.1 Definition Operational Variable

3.1.1 Corporate social responsibility

CSR means form development needs to be more answer company to impact social, economic and environmental from operation company to the environment and society [25]. Formula CSR data measurement [5]:

$$CSRDI = \sum Xi/n$$

3.1.2 Ownership managerial

Ownership managerial disclosed in annual report company. Amount share managerial can be measured with the ratio amount owned shares management to the whole share company. Following formula calculation ownership managerial [26]:

$$\text{Ownership managerial} = (\text{total shares owned by management}) / (\text{total shares owned by the company}) \times 100\%$$

3.1.3 Profitability

Profitability is the ability company To produce profit in a manner that is efficient and effective during a certain period [25]. To measure profitability, use ROA with the formula as follows:

$$ROA = (\text{Net profit after tax}) / (\text{total Asset}) \times 100\%$$

3.1.4 Leverage

Leverage is a tool used to measure the dependency company on debt To finance the operation. Variable leverage control for probability bankruptcy [27].

$$\text{DER} = (\text{Total debt}) / (\text{Total equity}) \times 100\%$$

3.1.5 Aggressiveness tax

Aggressiveness tax is a method company to minimize the burden of taxes paid, fines _ legally or _ illegally (Akuntansi et al., 2021). Formula measurement uses ETR as follows [28]:

$$\text{ETR} = (\text{income tax expense}) / (\text{net profit before tax}) \times 100$$

3.1.6 Firm size

Firms' size is Where the company can group following big its small company [29]. Formula calculation size companies [30]:

$$\text{Size: } \log N (\text{Total Company Assets})$$

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Classical Assumption Test

4.1.1 Normality test

As noted in the Table 1, the variable data used in this study is normally distributed and has a significance value of 0.54, which is more than 0.05

4.1.2 Multicollinearity test

All variables in the Table 2 with a collinearity tolerance value greater than 0.1 are those with a value of 0.995 for management ownership, 0.403 for profitability, 0.405 for leverage, and 0.995 for tax aggressiveness. Likewise, all variations have a VIF value that is less than 10. Therefore, no variable in this study exhibits multicollinearity or no relationship between independent variables, making it possible to employ regression models on these variables.

4.1.3 Heteroskedasticity test

As noted in the Table 3, all of the variables have significance values more than 0.05. In other words, the significance value for the variables relating to management flexibility is 0.054,

profitability is 0.087, leverage is 0.297, and tax aggressiveness is 0.335. This indicates no signs of heteroskedasticity in any of the variables.

4.1.4 Hypothesis test

The partial variable test (t-test) findings above indicate that tax aggressiveness has a significance value of 0.017, lower than 0.05, which indicates that tax aggressiveness has a substantial positive influence on CSR disclosure to some extent. In order to support the fourth hypothesis in this investigation. The relationship between tax aggressiveness and CSR disclosure is positive, so the more actively a firm discloses its social responsibility, the higher the level of corporate tax aggressiveness. For the company's tax-aggressive acts to be adequately covered by their social responsibility, this is done to acquire legitimacy or recognition from stakeholders, including investors, creditors, consumers, the government, and the community.

Given that the managerial ownership variable's significance value is 0.049, which is less than 0.05, it is clear that it significantly affects CSR disclosure. The study's first hypothesis is plausible. The study's findings demonstrate that a company's CSR disclosure is positively impacted by the size of share ownership held by managers. The higher the managerial ownership in a company, the more manager's actions to increase the firm value through CSR disclosure are effective. As a result, as the company's reputation grows, investors will get interested in investing in it.

The second theory, which asserts a connection between profitability and CSR disclosure, is unfounded. The t-test findings yield a significance value of 0.068, greater than 0.05. The study's findings demonstrate that a company's high profitability ratio, which indicates that it can make much money and therefore has enough money to engage in social and environmental responsibility, may not always be used to fund such activities, leading to a low level of CSR disclosure on the part of the company.

It is reasonable to accept a third theory that leverage affects CSR disclosure. The significant value of the leverage variable, as seen in the t-test table, is 0.042, which is less than 0.05. The study's findings indicate that the more leverage a company has, the more efficiently it will spend its working capital, and the faster it rotates, the

more advantages it will gain from increasing its CSR disclosure. By providing more information to the public, including CSR information resulting from capital structure, these corporations attempt to allay the concerns of creditors or bondholders over fulfilling their rights as creditors.

Table 1. Normality test result

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test		
		Unstandardized Residual
N		39
Normal Parameters ^b	Mean	0,0000000
	Std. Deviation	0,27490431
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	0,143
	Positive	0,143
	Negative	-0,111
Test Statistic		0,143
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		,054 ^c

a. Test distribution is Normal
 b. Calculated from data
 c. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Table 2. Multicollinearity test result

Coefficients								
Model		Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity statistics	
		B	Std. error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	0,374	0,060		6,249	0,000		
	Managerial ownership	2,882	1,413	0,323	2,040	0,049	0,995	1,005
	Profitability	-0,030	0,161	-0,047	-0,189	0,851	0,403	2,481
	Leverage	0,013	0,016	0,198	0,801	0,429	0,405	2,469
	Tax	0,016	0,045	0,058	0,367	0,716	0,995	1,005
	Aggressiveness							

a. Dependent Variable: CSR

Table 3. Heteroskedasticity test result

Coefficients						
Model		Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	0,663	0,103		6,443	0
	Tax Aggressiveness	-0,075	0,077	-0,152	-0,978	0,335
	Managerial ownership	-4,843	2,43	-0,31	-1,993	0,054
	Profitability	0,488	0,277	0,43	1,76	0,087
	Leverage	0,029	0,027	0,258	1,058	0,297

a. Dependent Variable: ABS_RES_LN

Table 4. Hypothesis test result

Model	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. error	Beta		
(Constant)	0,374	0,060		3,019	0,000
Tax Aggressiveness	0,016	0,045	0,058	0,071	0,017
Managerial ownership	2,882	1,413	0,323	2,040	0,049
Profitability	0,030	0,161	0,047	0,018	0,068
Leverage	0,013	0,016	0,198	0,008	0,042

4.1.5 Moderate regression analysis

The influence of each dependent variable on the independent variable can alter depending on the presence of moderation variables on firm size, as seen in the Table 5. A significance score of 0.0947, or over 0.05, indicates that the management ownership variable, moderated by the organization's size, is significant. This demonstrates that managerial ownership only affects CSR disclosure when controlled by the company's size. This circumstance may arise because the company needs to be bigger to support managerial ownership in influencing CSR disclosure.

In contrast, when influenced by the size of the company, profitability has a significant value of 0.042, indicating that the size of the company can reduce profitability in impacting CSR disclosure. Profitability did not affect CSR disclosure in the preceding t-test. However, profitability greatly impacts a company's CSR disclosure once the organization's size is considered.

The same is true with variable leverage, where the company's size supports the level of influence over the company's CSR disclosure. This is demonstrated by the considerable value of leverage when the firm size, which is 0.029 or

less than 0.05, is moderated. Therefore, the link between leverage and the disclosure of the firm's CSR will change depending on the company's size.

The company's size further supports a positive association between tax aggressiveness and corporate CSR disclosure in the variable tax aggressiveness, which has a significance value of 0.037, lower than 0.05. The significant value of the company's size will indirectly influence tax aggression in the disclosure of corporate social responsibility.

The Table 6 displays the results of the simultaneous moderation tests and demonstrates that the significance value of 0.019 is less than 0.05. This implies that the independent variable is simultaneously significantly positively influenced by all dependent variables, moderated by the organization's size. Accordingly, the fifth hypothesis in this study, which shows that the indirect connection of management ownership, profitability, leverage, and tax aggression on CSR disclosure, is acceptable. The size of the company tempers this relationship. Corporate social responsibility disclosure will be influenced by managerial ownership, profitability, indebtedness, tax aggression at higher levels, and firm size [31-33].

Table 5. Moderate regression t test results

Model	Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients		Sig.
	B	Std. error	Beta	t	
¹ Constant	0,378	0,076		4,939	0
Managerial ownership	-0,258	13,47	-0,029	2,04	0,049
Profitability	-1,113	0,574	-1,716	0,018	0,068
Leverage	-0,036	0,035	-0,569	0,008	0,042
Tax Aggressiveness	0,033	0,053	0,119	0,071	0,017
Company Size	0,087	0,235	0,108	0,371	0,714
Ownership_Management*Uk_Company	0,912	13,554	0,102	0,067	0,947
Profitability*Uk_Company	2,285	1,13	1,717	2,023	0,042
Leverage*Uk_Perusahaan	0,101	0,095	0,73	1,062	0,029
Aggressiveness_Tax*Uk_Company	-0,074	0,212	-0,072	-0,349	0,037

Table 6. F moderate regression test results

Model	Sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	Sig.
¹ Regression	1,222	9	0,014	0,814	,019 ^b
Residual	2,172	29	0,049		
Total	3,394	38			

5. CONCLUSION

All business sectors must be aware of the significance of CSR disclosure, but mining businesses must do so because of their significant environmental impact. This study investigated several CSR disclosure-influencing variables. The findings demonstrated that managerial ownership positively impacts CSR disclosure. The disclosure of CSR is not much impacted by profitability. CSR disclosure is significantly impacted by leverage. CSR disclosure is significantly impacted by tax aggressiveness. In addition, CSR disclosure is indirectly influenced by managerial ownership, profitability, leverage, and tax aggression, with the influence of firm size acting as a moderator. Future studies are anticipated to use a bigger sample size because this research is still only applicable to one industry, allowing the findings to reflect a wider range of businesses. Additionally, institutional ownership or shares as illustrations of effective corporate management can be introduced as additional variable factors, which may affect the company's CSR disclosure.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ni Luh Eka Karisma Yanti. The influence of company size, board of commissioners size, institutional ownership, leverage, and profitability on corporate social res disclosure responsibilities; 2021.
2. Yovana DG, Kadir A. The influence of company size, company growth, profitability, and leverage on disclosure of corporate social responsibility (Csr); 2020.
3. Rochayatun S. Factors influencing corporate social responsibility disclosure (Csr). In Wiga Economic Research Journal. 2016;6(1).
4. Afifah RN, Immanuela I. The influence of company size, board of commissioners size, profitability, and leverage on disclosure of corporate social responsibility (Empirical Study of Consumer Goods Companies Registered in Bei for the 2015-2018 Period); 2021.
5. Ramadhani R, Maresti D. The effect of leverage and size of the board of directors on CSR disclosures. Economics: Journal of Economics and Business. 2021;5(1):78. Available:https://Doi.Org/10.33087/Ekonomis.V5i1.262
6. Elizabeth D, Pangaribuan DH. The influence of managerial ownership on disclosure of corporate social responsibility. Journal of Social and Technology (Sostech). 2021;1(11). Available:http://Sostech.Greenvest.Co.Id
7. Adiputri Singal P, Wijana Asmara Putra IN. The influence of institutional ownership, managerial ownership, and foreign ownership on disclosure of corporate social responsibility. E-Jurnal Accounting. 2019;29(1):468. Available:https://Doi.Org/10.24843/Eja.2019.V29.I01.P30
8. Sari PA, Handini BT, Malang PN, Soekarno J. The influence of managerial, institutional ownership and audit committees on disclosure of corporate social responsibility. 2021;12(2).
9. Damayanti P, Prihanto H, Studies P, University A, Fairuzzaman M. The effect of good corporate governance, public share ownership and profitability on the disclosure level of corporate social responsibility. In Journal of Development Economics. 2021;7(2).
10. Sitompul S, Tinggi S, Economics I, Utara S. Analysis of the influence of company size, profitability, leverage and number of commissioners on disclosure of corporate social responsibility; 2021.
11. Rokhlinasari S. Theories in information disclosure corporate social responsibility banking; 2015. Available:Www.Bi.Go.Id
12. Karunia M, Moch R, Dzulkirom AR, Zahroh ZA. The effect of corporate social responsibility (Csr) on company profitability (Studies in the Consumer Goods Industry Sector Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange 2013-2014 Period); 2016. Available:Www.Kemenperin.Go.Id
13. Purwanto E. The effect of tax aggressiveness and managerial ownership on corporate social responsibility in realizing sustainable development goals. 2022;14(2):330–345.
14. Erawati T, Sari LI. The influence of managerial ownership, company size, earnings management and audit committee on Csr disclosure; 2021.
15. Idawati W, Hanifah AN. The influence of board independence, audit committee, and managerial ownership on sustainability

- reporting on the asean corporate governance scorecard. 2022;14.
16. Dewi PAC, Sedana IBP. The influence of profitability, company size, and leverage on disclosure of corporate social responsibility. Udayana University Management E-Journal. 2019;8(11):6618. Available:<https://Doi.Org/10.24843/Ejmunud.2019.V08.I11.P12>
 17. Citra Hardianti D. Makassar Bongaya College of Economics, S., Lt. Gen. Pol, J. A., No, M., & Makassar K. The Influence of Company Size, Leverage and Profitability on Corporate Social Responsibility. 2020;5(1). Available:<http://Idx.Co.Id>
 18. Kemala Dewi G, Fitri Yani I, Kalbuana N, Tho M, Accounting Studies P. Technology and Business Ahmad Dahlan I, Economics and Business F. The Influence of GCG, Leverage, CSR Disclosure on Financial Performance in Islamic Banking in Indonesia with Company Size as a Moderating Variable. Scientific Journal of Islamic Economics. 2021;7(03):1740–1751. Available:<https://doi.org/10.29040/Jiei.V7i3.3600>
 19. Goh TS, Nainggolan J, Sagala E. The influence of corporate social responsibility, company size, leverage, and profitability on tax aggressiveness in mining companies listed on the Indonesia stock exchange for the 2015-2018 period; 2019.
 20. Wahyuningsih A, Mahdar N. The influence of size, leverage and profitability on Csr disclosure in manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia stock exchange; 2018.
 21. Wardhani RA, Muid D. The effect of tax aggressiveness, company size and profitability on corporate social responsibility (Empirical Study of Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2014-2015); 2017.
 22. Handayani LK, Murniati MP. Comparison of effective tax rate (Etr) and fiscal correction ratio to assets as tax avoidance indicators. Keunis. 2023;11(1):1. Available:<https://doi.org/10.32497/Keunis.V11i1.3826>
 23. Sembiring ER. Company characteristics and disclosure of social responsibility: Empirical study of companies listed on the Jakarta stock exchange; 2005.
 24. Widiastuti H, Utami ER, Handoko R. The influence of company size, industry type, growth, and media exposure on disclosure of corporate social responsibility (Empirical Study of Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2014-2015); 2018.
 25. Hardianti D, Anwar A. The influence of company size, leverage and profitability on corporate social responsibility. JAE (Journal of Accounting and Economics). 2020;5(1):107–114. Available:<https://Doi.Org/10.29407/Jae.V5i1.14031>
 26. Hermayanti LGD, Sukartha IM. The influence of managerial ownership, institutional ownership, and Csr disclosure on company financial performance. Accounting E-Journal. 2019;1703. Available:<https://Doi.Org/10.24843/Eja.2019.V27.I03.P03>
 27. Gandhi Mahesti N. The influence of profit management on disclosure of corporate social responsibility (case study of manufacturing companies registered at BEI in 2013-2016). Diponegoro Journal of Accounting. 2019;8(1):1–12. Available:<http://Ejournal.S1.Undip.Ac.Id/Ind ex.Php/Accounting>
 28. Handayani LK. Comparison of the effective tax rate (Etr) and the ratio of fiscal correction to assets as an indicator of tax avoidance. 2023;11(1): 1–12.
 29. Ruroh IN, Latifah SW. The influence of profitability, leverage, company size and risk minimization on disclosure of corporate social responsibility (Csr) (Empirical Study of Mining Companies Registered in Bei for the 2015-2016 Period); 2018.
 30. Viorentina S, Febriany N. Company size, profitability, board of commissioners and audit committee on disclosure of corporate social responsibility; 2022.
 31. Accounting S, Business F, Maranatha K, Prasetyo A, Stikubank Semarang JI, Kendeng U, Wulandari S. Capital intensity, leverage, return on assets, and company size against tax aggressiveness. 2021; 13(1):134–147. Available:<http://Journal.Maranatha.Edu>
 32. Ramadhan Ersyafdi I, Widya P, Irianti D. Sar (Soedirman Accounting Review): Journal of Accounting and Business the Influence of Financial Factors, Corporate Governance, Tax Aggressiveness to

- Disclosure of Corporate Social Responsibility; 2021.
33. Mahalistianingsih I, Yuliandhari WS. The effect of profitability, tax aggressiveness and slack resources on disclosure of corporate social responsibility. Unified Scientific Journal of Accounting. 2021;9(3): 479–488.
Available:<https://Doi.Org/10.37641/Jiakes.V9i3.893>

© 2023 Prabandari et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104077>