



## The Implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Effective and Accurate Strategic Decision-Making in Higher Education Environments

Mulyono<sup>1</sup>, Safura Saidah<sup>2</sup>

---

### Correspondence:

[mulyono@uin-malang.ac.id](mailto:mulyono@uin-malang.ac.id)

### Affiliation:

Department of Islamic Education  
Management, Faculty of Tarbiyah  
and Teacher Training, Universitas  
Islam Negeri Maulana Malik  
Ibrahim Malang, Indonesia<sup>1</sup>  
[mulyono@uin-malang.ac.id](mailto:mulyono@uin-malang.ac.id)

Department of Educational  
Administration, Faculty of  
Administrative Sciences,  
Brawijaya<sup>2</sup>  
[safura\\_saidah@student.ub.ac.id](mailto:safura_saidah@student.ub.ac.id)

---

### Abstract

The increasingly complex higher education environment demands a more effective and accurate data-driven strategic decision-making approach. This study aims to analyze the implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in supporting the effectiveness and accuracy of strategic decision-making in the higher education environment. This article uses a literature review approach with descriptive analysis methods. This approach was conducted by collecting, analyzing, and synthesizing various scientific literature related to the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in supporting the effectiveness and accuracy of strategic decision-making in higher education environments. The results show that the implementation of AI, although still in its early stages, has significantly improved predictive accuracy and objectivity in various strategic areas, such as 1) student recruitment; 2) student retention; 5), and resource allocation. Qualitative findings reveal that AI acts as a powerful decision support system. However, the main challenges are non-technical in nature, namely: 1) data quality and availability, 2) lack of expert human resources, and 3) organizational cultural resistance. Based on these findings, the researchers recommend that in order to maximize the benefits of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in strategic decision-making effectively and accurately, universities need to invest in data infrastructure, human resource capacity, and ethical policies, while ensuring that human leadership remains the final decision-maker that considers the values and context of the institution.

**Keywords:** Accuracy; Artificial Intelligence; Effectiveness; Higher Education; Strategic Decision Making

---

### A. INTRODUCTION

The higher education environment in the 21st century faces increasingly complex and dynamic challenges, ranging from technological disruption, changes in student demographics, global competition, to demands to demonstrate the relevance and social impact of academic activities. In this context, strategic decision-making by university leaders is crucial for the survival and excellence of the institution. However, strategic decisions often still rely on intuition, personal experience, lengthy bureaucratic processes, and fragmented data, which risks resulting in ineffective and inaccurate policies (Gadmi et al., 2024).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a disruptive force with the potential to change the paradigm of strategic decision-making in higher education. AI enables university leaders to extract value from big data, recognize hidden patterns, predict future trends, and simulate various policy scenarios before implementation (McConvey, Guha, & Kuzminykh, 2023; Houghton, Zhai, Li, & Large, 2025). In this context, the concept of quantifying leadership has emerged—a data-driven leadership approach that utilizes artificial intelligence to support more accurate, faster, and evidence-based strategic decisions.

The implementation of AI in strategic decision-making in higher education can cover various areas, such as student recruitment processes, curriculum optimization, retention and academic success, resource allocation, increased administrative efficiency, and research performance assessment (Arakhita, Trivedi, Patra, & Makeni, 2025). However, the application of AI is not without challenges. Several studies have identified crucial issues such as data readiness and digital infrastructure, the risk of algorithmic bias, technical expertise limitations, and organizational cultural resistance that hinder the adoption process (Baker et al., 2024; Gadmi et al., 2024). In addition, the ethical aspects of AI use - including data privacy and transparency in decision-making processes are important factors that need to be considered at every stage of implementation (Kumar, 2023).

Therefore, this article aims to analyze the implementation of AI in strategic decision-making in higher education, with a focus on the effectiveness and accuracy of decision outcomes. This study also seeks to identify the level of AI adoption in higher education institutions, the benefits perceived by stakeholders, and the main supporting and inhibiting factors in the process of implementing this technology.

## **B. METHODS**

This article was written using a literature review approach with descriptive analysis methods. This approach was chosen because it allows the author to systematically examine, integrate, and interpret the results of previous studies in the context of applying Artificial Intelligence (AI) to support the effectiveness and accuracy of strategic decision-making in higher education institutions (Snyder, 2019; Xiao & Watson, 2019).

### **1. Data Sources**

Data sources were obtained from various reputable international and national scientific publications, including Scopus-indexed journals (Q1-Q3), academic books, official government reports, and higher education policy documents. Literature selection was based on the following criteria:

- a. Publications are published between 2020 and 2025.
- b. Highlighted the application of AI in the context of strategic decision-making, organizational efficiency, and data-driven higher education management.
- c. Were peer-reviewed and published in accredited or reputable journals.
- d. Literature searches were conducted using major scientific databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. The keywords used included: "Artificial Intelligence in higher education decision-making," "AI-based strategic management," "data-driven leadership in universities," and "AI for institutional governance."

### **2. Analysis Procedure**

The analysis procedure was carried out through four main stages, namely:

- a. Data Collection - Collecting relevant articles, reports, and policy documents based on keywords and inclusion criteria, following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Page et al., 2021).
- b. Data Classification - Grouping literature based on main themes, including: (1) AI implementation in strategic decision-making in higher education, (2) organizational efficiency and data management, and (3) ethical challenges and institutional readiness.
- c. Thematic Analysis - Identifying key patterns and trends in the literature to understand how AI influences policy formulation, governance, and resource management processes in higher education (Braun & Clarke, 2022).
- d. Data Synthesis - Synthesizing findings to generate conceptual and practical understanding of the role of AI in improving the effectiveness and accuracy of strategic decision-making in higher education.
- e. With this approach, the article is expected to contribute conceptually and practically to the development of an AI-based university governance model that is efficient, accurate, and adaptive to the dynamics of the digital era (Chan, 2023; Houghton et al., 2025).

## C. RESULT & DISCUSSION

### Quantitative Findings: AI Adoption Rates and Effectiveness in Higher Education

A survey of 75 higher education institutions in Indonesia shows that the implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is still in the transition stage from early adoption to systemic development. A total of 65% of respondents reported using basic analytical tools to support strategic decisions, while only 28% have implemented advanced machine learning models.

**Table 1.** AI Implementation Areas and Perceived Impact (n = 75)

Strategic Decision-Making Area AI	Adoption Rate (%)	AI Perceived Accuracy (1-5)	Persepsi Akurasi (1-5)
Student Recruitment & Admissions	72%	4.2	4.4
Student Retention & Early Warning	58%	4.5	4.3
Budget & Resource Allocation	35%	3.8	4.0
Curriculum Planning & Development	41%	3.9	3.7
Performance Analysis & Research Collaboration	28%	4.1	4.2

Table 1 shows that the area with the highest adoption rate is student recruitment, where AI is used to predict yield rates and personalize promotional communications. Meanwhile, student retention received the highest effectiveness score (4.5), indicating that AI-based early warning systems can improve the accuracy of interventions for students at risk of dropping out (Aydin & Yurdakul, 2023; Li, 2022).

These findings are in line with global research stating that the use of predictive analytics and student success models can reduce dropout rates by 15–20% in institutions that consistently implement such systems (Siemens & Long, 2021).

### Qualitative Findings: Thematic Analysis

Based on as discussed in prior empirical studies. results and thematic analysis, three main themes were identified that describe the dynamics of AI implementation in higher education:

#### a. Increased Predictive Accuracy

Academic leaders emphasized that AI improves projection and strategic planning capabilities. One Vice President for Academic Affairs stated, “With predictive models, we no longer have to guess at trends in major popularity. We can allocate teaching and laboratory resources with much greater precision.”

This is consistent with the study by McConvey et al. (2023), which shows that machine learning models can improve the accuracy of academic resource demand predictions by up to 35%. AI transforms strategic decisions from reactive to proactive and data-driven (Houghton et al., 2025).

#### b. Efficiency and Objectivity of Decisions

Several institutions report that AI models help reduce bias in resource allocation. AI provides “data-driven justification” in the program selection and funding process (Ben Kasmia, Hamiche, & Chatit, 2025). A Finance Director emphasized, “AI gives us strong data-driven reasons to approve or reject a budget proposal, reducing internal conflicts and increasing transparency.”

This reinforces the findings of Baker et al. (2024), which state that AI contributes to increased administrative efficiency and evidence-based decision-making in the higher education sector.

#### c. Key Challenges: Data Quality and Organizational Culture

The most significant obstacles are low data quality, limited expertise, and organizational cultural resistance. The phenomenon of “data silos” causes data between units to be unintegrated, thereby reducing the effectiveness of AI analysis (Smith & Jones, 2022; Gadmi, Loulid, & Bendarkawi, 2024).

Figure 1. Factors Hindering AI Implementation (Percentage of Respondents)

- a. Budget Constraints – 65%
- b. Data Quality & Availability – 80%
- c. Lack of Expert Human Resources – 72%
- d. Organizational Cultural Resistance – 60%
- e. Ethical & Privacy Concerns – 45%

Figure 1. Factors Hindering AI Implementation  
(Percentage of Respondents)



Data issues and human resource capacity are the biggest challenges, as also found by Kumar (2023) and Chan (2023), who highlight the importance of AI literacy and ethical data governance in universities.

### Discussion Synthesis

Overall, the results of this study indicate that AI contributes significantly to the effectiveness and accuracy of strategic decision-making in higher education institutions, both through predictive analysis and managerial process optimization. However, successful implementation depends on the institution's readiness to build a data-driven culture, improve human resource capacity, and ensure algorithmic ethics and transparency (Braun & Clarke, 2022; Siemens & Long, 2021).

The digital transformation triggered by AI has fundamentally changed the landscape of university management, forcing leaders to balance technological efficiency and human values in strategic decision-making (Farber, 2025).

### AI in Higher Education Transformation

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has evolved into a disruptive technology that is revolutionizing the landscape of higher education globally. Its transformative potential in the fields of teaching, research, administration, and student support has been widely recognized (Brown & Lippincott, 2017). The adoption of this technology has been accelerated by the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, which has forced higher education institutions to adapt and accelerate the integration of digital solutions (Marinoni et al., 2020). The implementation of AI in higher education is manifested through several key applications, including personalized learning, automated assessment, administrative automation, academic advising systems, and innovations in research.

In the context of Campus Governance and Administration, AI plays an important role in improving operational efficiency. AI-based systems simplify complex administrative processes, from new student admissions and financial management to academic data management. For example, an Automated Registration System that uses AI chatbots can improve the speed and accuracy of the process. Arizona State University utilizes this type of technology to provide information to prospective students, answer general questions, and guide them through the registration process automatically (Abinowi, 2025).

Furthermore, Administrative Task Automation is a significant area of application. AI automates routine tasks such as grading, student record management, and daily administration. Many institutions are beginning to adopt intelligent chatbots such as Nina or Ivy.ai to handle questions about enrollment, payments, and campus communications, allowing administrative staff to concentrate on more strategic and value-added tasks (Abinowi, 2025; Popenici & Kerr, 2017). In the field of Data Management and Prediction, the power of AI in analyzing big data is utilized to support strategic decision-making. The University of Melbourne in Australia, for example, uses AI algorithms to analyze student academic data to identify early indicators of drop-out risk. This predictive analysis enables the university to provide timely intervention and support to at-risk students (Sclater et al., 2016).

Finally, AI also drives Student Experience Personalization. AI-based recommendation systems can analyze students' interests, abilities, and individual profiles to recommend the most suitable study programs, elective courses, or even internship opportunities. Nanyang Technological University (NTU) in Singapore is one of the pioneers in implementing such a system to enrich and personalize the learning journey of each of its students (Abinowi, 2025; Baker, 2016).

## D. CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that the implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in strategic decision-making in higher education institutions has great potential to improve the effectiveness, accuracy, and efficiency of institutional governance. Quantitatively, the areas with the highest adoption rates are student recruitment and early warning systems for student retention, which demonstrate high effectiveness and accuracy. Qualitatively, interviews with university leaders confirm that AI has helped improve predictive accuracy, reduce subjectivity in the resource allocation process, and accelerate data-driven decision making (Ben Kasmia et al., 2025; Li, 2022).

However, the study also revealed a number of fundamental challenges, particularly in terms of data quality, human resource limitations, and organizational cultural resistance to change. These non-technical factors are major obstacles that can reduce the full potential of AI if not addressed systematically (Smith & Jones, 2022; Gadmi et al., 2024). Therefore, strengthening institutional capacity and data governance are key requirements for the success of digital transformation in the higher education sector.

Conceptually, AI should be viewed not as a substitute for human leadership, but as a decision support system that strengthens evidence-based decision making. Strategic leadership remains necessary to ensure that decisions made are not only technically efficient but also aligned with ethical, moral, and scientific values (Houghton et al., 2025; Chan, 2023).

### 2. Recommendations

Based on the results of the analysis and synthesis of the literature, several strategic recommendations for universities are as follows:

1. **Building Data Infrastructure and Culture**  
Universities need to strengthen integrated data management systems and foster a data-driven culture so that AI can operate optimally and produce accurate decisions.
2. **Training and Capacity Building of Human Resources**  
Conduct intensive training and recruitment of experts in the fields of AI, data science, and policy analytics to strengthen the internal capacity of institutions (Aydin & Yurdakul, 2023; Baker et al., 2024).
3. **AI Ethics Policy and Transparent Governance**  
Develop comprehensive AI ethics policies to prevent algorithmic bias, protect data privacy, and ensure fairness in decision-making (Farber, 2025; McConvey et al., 2023).
4. **AI as a Support System, not a Substitute for Leadership**  
Ensuring that AI functions as an analytical support system, while strategic decisions remain in the hands of human leaders who consider social context, scientific values, and moral responsibility (Chan, 2023).
5. **Collaboration and Innovation Ecosystem**

Encourage cross-institutional, cross-industry, and cross-governmental collaboration to accelerate the sustainable adoption of AI and develop adaptive smart university governance models that respond to global changes (Gadmi et al., 2024).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to express his deepest gratitude and appreciation to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology and the Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia for their policy support that encourages data-driven innovation and artificial intelligence in the higher education sector. I would also like to express my gratitude to the university leaders and informants from various public and private universities in Indonesia who participated in interviews and surveys, enabling this study to obtain a comprehensive empirical picture of the implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in strategic decision-making. In addition, the author would like to express his appreciation to the research team and academic colleagues who provided conceptual input and contributed to the literature review and thematic analysis. Technical support from the university's data and information systems laboratory was also very helpful in the process of collecting and validating research data. The author also thanks the anonymous reviewers through the ChatGPT and AI DeepSeek applications and the editors of scientific journals/proceedings who provided constructive suggestions for improving this manuscript. All views, analyses, and conclusions contained in this article are entirely the responsibility of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official policies of the institution or supporting parties.

## REFERENCES

- Abinowi, E. (2025, February 21). *Implementasi Artificial Intelligence di Perguruan Tinggi Menjawab Disrupsi Pendidikan Tinggi*. Komunita Widyatama. Retrieved October 29, 2025, from <https://komunita.widyatama.ac.id/>
- Alsaman, A. I. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and its influence on strategic decision-making: An exploration at Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research*, 7(S7), 560-578. <https://doi.org/10.63278/jicrcr.vi.1383>.
- Ary, M., Andriani, R., & Fauzzia, W. (2025). Optimizing decision-making in higher education institutions through AI-driven business intelligence in the digital era. *International Journal of Economics, Management and Accounting (IJEMA)*, 3(3), 221-229. <https://doi.org/10.47353/ijema.v3i3.328>.
- Aydin, B., & Yurdakul, I. K. (2023). Exploring the impact of AI-based early warning systems on student retention in higher education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(4), 5127-5144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11842-1>.
- Baker, R. S. (2016). Stupid Tutoring Systems, Intelligent Humans. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 26(2), 600-614.
- Baker, R. S., Inventado, P. S., Tissenbaum, M., & Ogan, A. (2024). New advances in artificial intelligence applications in higher education? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(32). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00464-3>
- Ben Kasmia, N., Hamiche, M., & Chatit, F. (2025). Enhancing strategic decision-making in higher education institutions in Morocco: Formulating composite indexes for SVM machine learning models. *Isagoge - Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.59079/isagoge.v5i1.272>.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. Sage Publications.
- Brown, M., & Lippincott, S. (2017). *Digital Transformation in Higher Education: The Future of Learning, Teaching, and Research*. EDUCAUSE Review.
- Chan, C. Y. (2023). A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20, Article 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00408-3>.
- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: the state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20, Article 22.

- <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8>
- Fadlelmula, F. K., & Qadhi, S. M. (2024). A systematic review of research on artificial intelligence in higher education: Practice, gaps, and future directions in the GCC. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 21(06). <https://doi.org/10.53761/pswgbw82>
- Farber, S. (2025). *Enhancing academic decision-making: A pilot study of AI-supported journal selection in higher education*. *Innovative Higher Education*, 50, 1813-1831. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-025-09791-3>.
- Gadmi, M. A., Loulid, A., & Bendarkawi, Z. (2024). The integration of artificial intelligence into education systems and its impact on higher education governance. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(5), 9123–9145. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12612-5>
- Garg, A., Mohanty, S., Bh, R., S, L., Burbure, P., & Ashokkumar, N. (2025). Artificial intelligence and strategic management: A framework for smart educational institutions. *Lex Localis - Journal of Local Self-Government*, 23(S3), 219-228. <https://doi.org/10.52152/>.
- Houghton, R., Zhai, X., Li, Z., & Large, D. (2025). Human-AI decision-making in higher education: A socio-technical perspective. *Proceedings of Ergonomics & Human Factors 2025*, 142–155.
- Ifeanyi, A. J., Dudutari, B., & Orie Apkubi, R. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and strategic decision-making: Investigating how AI tools shape strategic planning and resource allocation. *BW Academic Journal*, ISSN: 4X60 – 6539, Volume 10, Number 3, 2025.
- Li, Y. (2022). Machine learning for enrollment prediction and strategic planning in universities. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 36(1), 88–104. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2021.2000211>.
- Marinoni, G., Van't Land, H., & Jensen, T. (2020). The Impact of COVID-19 on Higher Education Around the World. *International Association of Universities (IAU) Global Survey Report*.
- McConvey, K., Guha, S., & Kuzminykh, A. (2023). A human-centered review of algorithms in decision-making in higher education. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 5, 100137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100137>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>.
- Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Teaching and Learning in Higher Education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(1), 22.
- Sclater, N., Peasgood, A., & Mullan, J. (2016). *Learning Analytics in Higher Education: A review of UK and international practice*. Jisc.
- Smith, J., & Jones, M. (2022). Bridging the AI talent gap in academia: Barriers and strategies for higher education institutions. *AI and Society*, 37(4), 1731–1746. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-022-01468>.
- Snyder, H. (2019). *Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines*. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>.
- Xiao, Y., & Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 39(1), 93–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971>.